UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,501	12/11/2001	Kerry Champion	23982-11568	6519
758 FENWICK & V	7590 07/25/200 VEST LLP	EXAMINER		
SILICON VAL	LEY CENTER	MIRZA, ADNAN M		
801 CALIFORI MOUNTAIN V	NIA STREET IEW, CA 94041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2145	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/01	5,501	CHAMPION, KERRY		
		Exam	iner	Art Unit		
		ADNA	N M. MIRZA	2145		
TI Period for R	ne MAILING DATE of this commu eplv	nication appears or	the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address	
A SHORTH WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (if NO periodical propertions) - Failure to Any reply	FENED STATUTORY PERIOD F VER IS LONGER, FROM THE M s of time may be available under the provision 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com d for reply is specified above, the maximum sreply within the set or extended period for repl received by the Office later than three months tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r munication. tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN no event, however, may nd will expire SIX (6) M a application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of th ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	is communication.	
Status						
2a)⊠ Thi 3)⊡ Sin	sponsive to communication(s) fils action is FINAL . ce this application is in condition sed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊠ This action for allowance exc	is non-final. ept for formal ma		the merits is	
Disposition	of Claims					
4a) 5)	-	are withdrawn from				
10)□ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are plicant may not request that any objected the or declaration is objected to	: a) accepted of	(s) be held in abey quired if the drawi	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(ang(s) is objected to. See 37	7 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date		Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 2145

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szabo (7,395,349) and further in view of Arteaga et al (U.S. 2002/0161826).

As per claims 1,17,33,41-42,53-54,56 Szabo disclosed traffic manager for facilitating communication between a client node and a server node in a distributed computing environment in accordance with at least one policy, the server node having a first interface associated therewith (col. 2, lines 28-45), the traffic manager capable of communicating with both the client code and the server node and comprising a central processing unit which is operable to: communicate with the server node via the first interface, generate and publish at least a second interface for communication with the first interface, in accordance with said at least one policy (col. 8, lines 42-48). At least one policy mapping the first interface to the second interface (col. 8, lines 49-63);

However Szabo did not disclose in detail communicate with the client node via the second interface, thereby allowing the client node to access at least one service on the server node in accordance with the at least one policy.

In the same field of endeavor Arteaga disclosed, If synchronous/online, a synchronous object is

created from abSOAP.dll at step 1606. A SOAP Envelop is created from the object and sent as

request to remote server at step 1608. At step 1610 a response is accessed the remote client

application from the object (Page. 8, Paragraph. 0116).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made

to have incorporated If synchronous/online, a synchronous object is created from abSOAP.dll at

step 1606. A SOAP Envelop is created from the object and sent as request to remote server at

step 1608. At step 1610 a response is accessed the remote client application from the object as

taught by Arteaga in the method of Szabo to provide a practical way for user communication

devices to carry out real-time transactions and communications on such devices and processing

of such transactions with a remote source such as an enterprise network server.

3. As per claims 2,18,34,43,55 Szabo-Arteaga disclosed wherein the first and second

interfaces comprises Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) interfaces (Arteaga, Page. 6,

Paragraph. 0092).

4. As per claims 3,19 Szabo-Arteaga disclosed wherein the SOAP interfaces employ

Extensible Markup Language (XML) (Arteaga, Page. 6, Paragraph. 0092).

Art Unit: 2145

5. As per claims 4,20,35 Szabo-Arteaga disclosed wherein each of the first and second interfaces has a description language file associated therewith defining the associated interface (Szabo, col. 13, lines 44-64).

- 6. As per claims 5,21,36 Szabo-Arteaga disclosed wherein the first interface corresponds to one of HTTP, TCP, HTTPS, HTTPR, and MQ (Szabo, col. 7, lines 45-61).
- 7. As per claims 6,22 Szabo-Arteaga disclosed wherein the distributed computing environment includes a network corresponding to a single enterprise including the server node, and wherein the client node is external to the network (Szabo, col. 8, lines 49-64).
- 8. As per claims 7,23 Szabo-Arteaga disclosed wherein the distributed computing environment includes a network corresponding to a single enterprise and including both the client and server nodes (Szabo, col. 9, lines 27-37).
- 9. As per claims 8,24,40,44 Szabo-Arteaga disclosed wherein the at least one policy includes requiring authorization by a human operator for invocation of the at least one service (Arteaga, Page. 7, Paragraph. 0107).
- 10. As per claims 9,25,41,45 Szabo-Arteaga disclosed wherein the at least one policy includes 5 mapping an organizational role to a person (Arteaga, Page. 8, Paragraph. 0114).

Art Unit: 2145

11. As per claims 10,26,46 Szabo-Arteaga disclosed wherein the mapping of the organizational role to the person is done using an LDAP directory associated with the distributed computing environment (Arteaga, Page. 4, Paragraph. 0060).

- 12. As per claims 11,27,37,47 Szabo-Arteaga disclosed wherein the at least one policy is a security policy (Arteaga, Page. 7, Paragraph. 0107).
- 13. As per claims 12,28,48 Szabo-Arteaga disclosed wherein the security policy is associated with encryption or decryption of at least a portion of data which is exchanged between the client and the server (Arteaga, Page. 7, Paragraph. 0107).
- 14. As per claims 13,29,49 Szabo-Arteaga disclosed wherein the security policy is associated with generating or verifying at least one digital signature for at least one portion of data which is exchanged between the client and the server (Arteaga, Page. 7, Paragraph. 0107).
- 15. As per claims 14,30,38,50 Szabo-Arteaga disclosed wherein the security policy is associated with protection against service attacks (Arteaga, Page. 7, Paragraph. 0107).
- 16. As per claims 15,31,39,51 Szabo-Arteaga disclosed wherein the at least one policy is associated with enforcing policies with respect to publication or access to the first or second interfaces (Arteaga, Page. 7, Paragraph. 0107).

Art Unit: 2145

17. As per claims 16,32,40,52 Szabo-Arteaga disclosed wherein the at least one policy is associated with review of data communicated between the server and client nodes (Szabo, col.

11, lines 16-25).

18. As per claim 54 Gourraud-Arteaga disclosed a computer-implemented method for facilitating communication between server and client programs, the method comprising: reading a WSDL file associated with a first SOAP interface to at least one server program (Szabo, col. 11, lines 16-25); and generating or publishing at least a second SOAP interface corresponding to the first SOAP interface in accordance with at least one policy; wherein at least one client program can use the second SOAP interface to request one or more services (Arteaga,

Page. 1, Paragraph. 0009).

19. As per claim 55 Szabo-Arteaga disclosed wherein the method further comprises: reading a first UDDI file which lists the first SOAP interface; generating or publishing a second WSDL file which describes the second SOAP interface; and creating or updating at least one UDDI entry associated with the generated or published WSDL file (Arteaga, Page. 6, Paragraph. 0095).

Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-56 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2145

Conclusion

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (571)-272-3885.

22. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business

hours. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571)-272-3933. The fax for this group is (703)-

746-7239. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

23. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for un published

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866)-217-9197 (toll-free).

/A. M. M./

Examiner, Art Unit 2145

Adnan Mirza

Application/Control Number: 10/015,501

Art Unit: 2145

/Jason D Cardone/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2145

Page 8